



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>                                                                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_AJEA_7250                                                                                                                     |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>NERICA Adoption and Impacts on performance of Rice Producing Households in Ghana: Implications for Research and Development</b> |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                                                    |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | I have no major comment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>I feel that Tables in original manuscript are difficult to understand because of severe lack of unity. Can authors rearrange original Tables for further easy understanding?</p> <p>In Table 2, authors explain about 'c' in the notes but 'c' is not used in this Table.</p> <p>In Table 3, please correct 'table 1' to 'Table 1'.</p> <p>A few abbreviations are used without explanation in ABBREVIATION list.</p> | <p>The suggestion is well noted, however, authors would like to maintain the arrangement of the tables because they are consistent with the discussions in the text.</p> <p>The explanation of 'c' has been removed.</p> <p>The correction is affected.</p> <p>All the abbreviations have been explained in the ABBREVIATION list.</p> |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | NERICA rice has some varieties. Is particular NERICA rice line used in this study? Line name or cultivar name of the NERICA rice used in this study should be shown in Materials and Methods section.                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | The NERICA varieties used for the study has been mentioned in section 2.1 paragraph 1 accordingly as recommended.                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |